


AUDACITY!

Anybody Who Is Against The White
Australia Policy Is Against The
Australian Nation - Jack Lang



The South Must Look To The South
For Strength In The Storm That Is
Yet To Break - Henry Lawson

Series 2, Number 3, Southern Hemisphere Autumn 2008 Price: \$2

Against Chinese Imperialism And All Its Running KRudds!

When a popular uprising was under way against Chinese rule in Tibet in March / April this year, Australia's Prime Minister, Kevin Rudd (KRudd as he is becoming widely known), bleated a few words in Beijing about the deplorable situation – *but stuck to his course of enveloping Australia in a Chinese embrace.* Australia officially stands with China over Tibetan independence. KRudd and Co. know that Tibetan identity is being destroyed by *Chinese immigration* and the integration of the province into the Chinese economy. This ominous occurrence prefigures Chinese influence in South East Asia – *and in Australia.*

Money talks. When some Chinese companies were restricted by the Foreign Investment Review Board from investing in the Western Australian minerals market (*Australian*, April 25), the present government (like its predecessor) still ensured that the pattern of Chinese investment in Australian natural resources was maintained. The giant Sinosteel took control over Midwest in April. In February, Chinalco bought shares in Rio Tinto. Shortly, China will also enter into the Australian financial market. China is now involved with 'Australian' companies in massive natural gas and oil projects in the Timor Sea. China also supplies cheap labour to work in many Australian industries.

What does it all mean? China is the *emergent superpower.* It is an Asian superpower. Whilst the Australian traitor class owes its primary loyalty to the American superpower, the policeman state of the New World Order, it cannot avoid dealing with the new force while

also playing its role *on behalf of the American regime* in accommodating itself to China, following its leads on trade, investment—and now *setting up Chinese owned farms with Chinese labour on our soil.* This all means integrating the Australian economy closely with China.

The Rise Of The Dragon Over Asia And The South Pacific

It has recently been revealed by *Jane's Intelligence Review* that the Chinese navy has constructed a huge underground nuclear submarine base at Sanya on Hainan island, just off its southern coast. It will house the half-dozen nuclear subs under construction over the next few years.

China also expects to launch six aircraft carriers in the next 5 – 10 years. The Chinese navy plans to expand its interest-zone (map, p.7) to eventually include all areas to New Guinea, northern Australia and the South Pacific. China's steady growth of a navy indicates that it is asserting a wide sphere of influence, will secure Taiwan, be hegemonic over its region and advance beyond it. China is already active in the Solomons, in Tonga, in Fiji and is now courting the New Zealand government to join in common naval exercises.

The emergence of China as a superpower was originally facilitated by the United States. In the early 1970's, the American regime tilted towards China, made decisions to open up investment and trade and make China a player in its Pacific politics. The US aimed to employ a willing China in its geopolitical game against Russia. By 1978-9, a veritable *Beijing, To-*



Kevin Rudd: : The Manchurian Candidate?

kyo, Washington Axis was formed to counter the then Soviet Union. This alliance speeded up America's Chinese trade and spurred Chinese economic development. The death of Mao (1976) whose internal policy was *revolutionary*, allowed his successors (such as Deng) to openly proclaim in October 1977 an alliance with Japan and other states against the Russians. China's role in Asia became more 'accepted', it was on the softer road that allowed its rise.

With the trade agreements and technology transfers between America and China over three decades, the Dragon has achieved stupendous economic growth.

Today, China "owns" *one trillion US dollars*, the balance of trade that has run in its favour for two decades. China has even threatened to use this leverage against America if it has to, to crash the US dollar as part of a new strategy of "asymmetrical warfare".

Chinese Activities In Australia


Chinese imperialist activity in Australia has been building. It was noted in 2006 that when KRudd undertook a political world tour (sic), it was paid for by Beijing Aust/China Technology corporation. Similar trips by current treasurer and a minister were also paid for.

In mid April, thousands of Chinese students enrolled in our universities, descended upon Canberra to "protect" the Olympic Torch relay-march from disruption by pro-Tibetan activists. Almost ten thousand foreign (Chinese) students turned out to protest. Their travel expenses were paid for by associations linked directly to the Chinese Embassy. This was gross interference in Australia's internal affairs. Further, many demonstrators were not even students, but were Chinese-origin citizens of Australia.

China's grip on Chinese immigrant
Con't p. 7



Australian Federation Flag. Australia's First National Flag, 1901-1903



Australian National Flag.



Eureka Flag. Or Southern Cross

YOUTH NATION DESTINY

Interview With Robyn Spencer: Co-Founder Australians Against Further Immigration

You were a co-founder of the Australians Against Further Immigration (AAFI). What prompted you to found this organization? What conditions called it into being?

Our initial concern was regarding the environmental effects of massive population growth which was being brought about through immigration numbers. It was obvious even 15 years ago, that the quality of life in our cities through pollution, crowding, traffic congestion etc, was rapidly declining. Also the expansion of our suburbs over our scarce arable land was a worry.

Nationally our concern was that Australia, the world's oldest, driest and least fertile continent, was facing a future where she would be unable to sustain even our present population let alone future numbers. Our total food production is miniscule compared with that from America or Europe, yet we rely heavily on export income from the farming sector while having to feed ever larger population numbers – add into this mix water shortages, dying rivers, salinity and the anticipated problems from what was then called the Greenhouse effect (now Global warming). All this was easily deduced 15 years ago!

Looking beyond the environmental concerns it was clear immigration was also an economic disaster. Trying to give an Australian standard of living to everyone who wanted to stay in Australia – roads, schools, healthcare, hospitals, higher education and employment opportunities for a rapidly growing population was a planning and economic nightmare. Governments at all levels simply were not coping and indeed stopped trying. Growth at all costs was becoming a National God. As we destroyed our own manufacturing industries, shipped them offshore and didn't or were unable to reinvest in Australian infrastructure and Australian manufacturing we simply descended into the nightmare of ever increasing foreign debt as our export income no longer covered the cost of imported goods. The situation is now at crisis point.

Australian's unique way of life was threatened by the influx of ethnic groups, all of whom were now encouraged to maintain their own identities without a commitment to the umbrella of Australian identity and culture – this was the time of the birth of Multiculturalism as a policy push. We were feeling that our country was becoming a mere geographical spot in the world to be inhabited by world citizens who were officially not encouraged to integrate, or to understand the nature of our home, but were instead financed by tax payers dollars to maintain their cultures at the expense of ours. Everyone else's food, culture, way of life were not only "better" but more important than ours. Why people wanted to come to Australia in the first place was forgotten.

In short, we perceived that Australia's environment (rural and urban), economy and culture were all under threat and we wished to protect Australia. We placed an advertisement in the *Melbourne Age* – calling for people who had the same con-

cerns to contact us. We were inundated by "the man in the street" through to academics who themselves recognised the problems or indeed were researching them at the time. Thus, Australians Against Immigration was born – the "Further" was added a little later.

Did you conceive - and do you still conceive - of the immigration problem as a matter with wide consequences? What consequences?

The problems are now much greater than when we foresaw the consequences from mass immigration in 1988. Apart from the environmental, economic and cultural consequences we said, as immigration numbers increase, it will become more and more difficult to control as the different ethnic groups themselves will wield increasing political power. It was easy to predict the birth of ethnic politics – indeed we have seen ex-PM Howard turfed from his own seat through the Chinese vote in Bennelong (he deserved it, but probably still doesn't see this as a result of his own policies), or even the most recent displays surrounding the Olympic torch relay. Even then we said that a powerful minority can influence any government to implement policies which benefit the minority, but are against the will of the majority.

What success did AAFI enjoy? Who joined? What was its fate?

AAFI said the things that no one else was saying, but which all patriotic Australians knew to be true, but were mostly afraid to voice. Even at this time any opposition to the Government's Agenda was slurred as "racist". Bob Hawke even said that to oppose the levels of foreign trade was "racist".

We realised that with the huge media censorship and misrepresentation, our message could never get to the public; so we became a nationally registered political party in order to give the people an opportunity to vote in secrecy.

We achieved votes of up to 30% – for example when Paul Keating resigned and a by-election was held. We regularly achieved a vote of over 4% and up to 12% in elections and by-elections. This was big news at the time.

Members of AAFI were patriotic Australians from all walks of life. Our members crossed all income, educational and social levels. They were Australians with an environmental conscience who wished to also preserve an economically sustainable Australia and our way of life to pass on to successive generations.

In-fighting, especially in NSW, led to the destruction of the party there. Much of this distress was fomented by "plants" within the party and people hungry for power, yet not prepared to work for the overall good of the party.

When we were uniting behind Graeme Campbell, Pauline Hanson arrived on the political scene. The media pushed her into the limelight, making it impossible to continue with our drive under Graeme Campbell. The media did not want

PUT AUSTRALIA FIRST
Vote **1**
ROBYN SPENCER



Graeme Campbell heading the anti-immigration/nationalist cause and promoted Pauline Hanson who they felt was an uneducated, ignorant female who would be easily destroyed. They underestimated the support for the cause, had a much tougher time than they anticipated, but eventually were successful.

The AAFI made enemies. Can you catalogue them? Why did they opt to oppose you?

The enemies of our cause were/are: Media, Big Business, Churches, Ethnic Organizations – especially Jewish ones, Lefties/Pinkos, Humanitarians, Environmentalists – who felt population was not an issue behind any problems – eg the Australian Conservation Foundation., Liberal/Labor/Nationals/Democrats/now Greens. All political parties arranged to exchange preferences against us so, in order to get a candidate elected to the House of Reps, we had to achieve a vote larger than their combined vote. This preference exchange ensured that no such outcome would happen. It really is an outrage against democracy. The situation in the Senate was much the same as all parties placed AFFI and later, One Nation, last.

You joined One Nation in 1998. How do you see this time?

One Nation became the vehicle by which some hope of gaining political power to change the current status was possible. Unfortunately the leaders of One Nation were self-serving and the goals of the party became secondary to the ambitions of the leaders.

Pauline Hanson removed me from the Party several times for disobeying her instructions – eg not sending money interstate from our Victorian candidates and also for having more knowledge about Nationalistic politics than she did. We continued trying; as a united effort we had a chance of working politically and division was obviously destructive. I feel that the one opportunity in a life-time, to establish a viable third political party which represented the patriots of our country, who believed in the Nation State, has been lost forever. All other parties are purely internationalist in their views and they all agree with each other on the most destructive policies affecting Australia.

If immigration continues at its present rate, where do you think it will take Australia?

Immigration now is about double the level the Government tells us. John Howard's government really


hoodwinked the Australian public. On one hand we had the Tampa episode, which many believed meant that immigration as a whole was under control, whereas on the other during his "reign", he was pushing immigration numbers to never-before-seen heights.

One can easily see where Australia is heading – an ethnically divided, environmentally unsustainable land with a pathetic economy. Without the income from mining Australians would be living in poverty. At a most basic level, when a country runs out of water it is overpopulated.

There has been much discussion of the 'Australian identity'. How do you define it?

The Australian identity is under attack and the next generation are being brainwashed into believing they don't even have one – all is multicultural and they are welcoming it! Our Manifesto outlined our beliefs – The Australian character has been formed by a unique set of circumstances. This ancient land has been inhabited by an ancient people, a convict heritage and our pioneer's battles with the land, coping with drought and climatic uncertainty. The early explorers live on – Stuart, Burke and Wills, Sturt, Eyre, Wylie and Baxter, Giles, Blaxland, Wentworth and Lawson and later Kingsford Smith and Mawson.

Con't p.7



Drugs, Despair, Deliverance: Operation Osterley, by seasoned patriot, Perry Jewell, is a *must-read* for all parents with a drug dependent child, or for all young people challenged by drugs. It makes a strong call for war on the drug criminals, for parental rights over young addicts and for a new strategy to cure the scourge. Price: \$20 from P.O. Box 7799 Mail Centre Toowoomba 4351

Australia First promotes this book.

KRudd from p. 1

groups has grown since the 1970's. It followed a pattern documented by even – the old Soviet Union. In a Soviet propaganda text *Peking And Chinese Emigration* (1980), truthful material documented Red Chinese penetration of the big-business merchant Chinese classes of South-East Asia and the creation of "patriotic" associations that extolled "The Motherland" as the focus of love and loyalty. Similar associations have operated in Australia.

By 1989, Ross Garnaut, Australia's professional report-writer for government and arch economic Asianiser, could write *The North-East Asian Economic Ascendancy* and push the line that China's rise was a good thing. On that basis, Chinese immigration was increased through the 1990's upon the logic that these migrants would link us with the booming Chinese economy. In fact, it created an insular enclave Chinese may now number 5% of Australia's population. Peter Wilkinson wrote in *The Howard Legacy* (2007):

"The Chinese presence in Australia has been analysed: numbers, distribution, school and university enrolments, social attitudes and political influence. The conclusion is that on present policies, Australia will have a Chinese minority dominating the economy."

Competing Imperialisms: The Long March To War

The two superpowers will contest for hegemony over Asia, Australia and the South Pacific. The Americans aim to extend the giant New World Order of free trade and open

borders, ethnically mixed peoples and eventually a nationless globe.

What is China's goal? It is to become the leading power of Asia and the Pacific, to acquire global reach and resources, and living space for its surplus population.

In 1965, Chairman Mao said:

"We must get hold of South East Asia including South Vietnam, Thailand, Burma, Malaysia and Singapore .. South East Asia is a very rich region; it abounds in minerals .. in the future it will be very useful to the development of Chinese industry .. After we get hold of South East Asia, the wind from the East will prevail over the wind from the West."

Mao, revolutionary he may have been *internally*, was a Great Han racist and imperialist. He also desired nuclear war as a means to achieve world "socialism" – or more particularly, Chinese domination of the globe. His successors have retained the imperial dream, but killed off revolution at home.

Both superpower imperialisms compete and intertwine, argue and benefit from each other - and both have agendas that menace Australia and other peoples.

Juggling the two great imperialisms is no easy feat for KRudd and those like him. It demands people without scruple, moral imbeciles who can perform like circus seals.

KRudd is a *Christian Zionist*, a man who supports the fake war on terror that serves Israel and he is a follower of the American social model of rampant liberalism.

"Long Live People's War!"

Whilst the American regime has been fighting reactionary Islamists, a new enemy grew in the remote areas of South and South-East Asia. Ultimately, this enemy may damage the American superpower in ways Osama bin Laden never dreamed. And do much more besides!

Let's discuss *Maoist-style communist parties*, one of which recently took power in Nepal. Asia's Maoists dropped off the radar in the early 1990's, but in the last few years, they have come back with a vengeance. Based amongst the poorest sections of the peoples of several countries, these Maoists operate insurgent *violence*, an application of Mao's guerrilla model of revolution - which they call "People's War".

They challenge the stability of their countries *and might just do those who cherish the idea of Australian independence one big favour*. How could they do Australia a service? Why would they? Well, they wouldn't want to; rather it's the *impact of what they are doing and what they could actually achieve*.

The Maoists may undermine the Asian economic boom which would cripple the economic power of the Australian traitor class. Economic stress *could promote political change here*. The Maoists could topple or badly wound the ruling elites of many countries, removing these countries as economic or military threats to Australia. The chaos they would engender in trying to uplift Asia's poor, while fighting

a long period of *de facto security* for us. They could even threaten China itself, the appeal to old-style Maoist "socialism", a sure alternative to the business-friendly world of the so-called Communist Party of China. Such historical irony! And any threat to the Chinese superpower is no bad thing. Of course, the American regime would surely waste its energies all over Asia, with interventions and 'prop-up' jobs more debilitating than the failures of its Iraq policy.

The 'Communist Party of Nepal – Maoist' has established links with other insurgency groups from India, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Bhutan and the Philippines under an umbrella organisation named the *Coordination Committee of Maoist Parties and Organisations of South Asia (CCOMPOSA)*. This organisation was established in December 2001 to unify and coordinate the Maoist parties and their activities in South Asia. Further meetings have brought in other groups. The new Nepali government may now fund, or otherwise support, the Maoist insurgencies.

Let's be hard nosed. We want Australian independence that we may preserve *our* identity and create *our* freedom. We are not going to get it when 'Asia' is buying into Australia to sustain its boom and as long as our governments enmesh themselves in strong profitable relationships in Asia - and can call upon the American regime for back-up.

One wild-card - as the Maoist slogan says: "*Long Live People's War!*"
Dr. Jim Saleam

China's Presence in the Pacific

"FIRST & SECOND LINES OF DEFENCE"



China's First & Second Lines of Defence establish China's dominance in the Pacific

But he also is a Mandarin speaker, with a daughter married to a Chinese (they organised the Chinese vote to oust John Howard from his electorate!), and he sees our economic future, and our demographic future – in Asia.

KRudd's Sinophilia, which reflects part of Australia's traitor class's economic interest, *stops short of embracing China's political method, but he will crawl before Chinese power*. He follows the US lead around the globe on most questions. But KRudd must still balance the complex interests of the two superpowers in Australia and in Asia and the South Pacific; he must take Chinese money while paying loyalty to Washington.

War is coming between the two super powers. A decade away perhaps, this armed clash could *extinguish* Australia.

Before we can survive the peril, we must have *regime change* in Australia. Why? The worst enemy of traditional Australia lives in Australia! Those who serve the foreign master must be driven from office and replaced by nationalists who put Australia first.

That is the base prerequisite for our attempt to survive as a people! For the moment: "Against Chinese Imperialism And All Its Running KRudds!"

Editorial Board.

Spencer interview:

Con't from p.2

The early British and European settlers did not simply transfer a British culture to this land, but arrived determined to shed the shackles of a British class system and developed a unique Australian society.

Our unique character further evolved through the people who developed our agriculturally based economy – the drover, the shearer, the itinerant worker and especially our pioneer women. Our Diggers marched off to fight in two world wars, we struggled through depression and created an open democratic society. The success of our forebears in creating the Australian nation-state in 1901 and laying down the institutional foundations of Australian life, is something we can be proud of and draw guidance from.

Australia led the way with the secret ballot, 8 hour day, votes for women, invalid, widow and old age pensions. We have our distinctive art, music, theatre, literature, sport and film with achievements in science, medicine, social welfare and a unique quality of life. Yet today all Governments continue to tell us that this nation is to have a new culture, institutionalised multiculturalism.

Can the immigration fight be won? What is your solution and final vision for Australia?

The immigration/population fight has been lost "big time" and only a massive recession, drought, food shortages, rising petrol prices and huge social problems will give us any hope of bringing Government/society to its

senses. Ethnic political power, political correctness and cowardice by Australians will ensure there will not be a backlash against our destruction as a people. Worldwide white people are being 'immigrated' out of existence. – be it in Canada, USA, UK Europe or Australia. Pride in their identity, race, achievements and culture are losing out to multiculturalism, political correctness and pro-growth philosophies. We will go quietly into the night of oblivion.



About Audacity
Established 1977.
Re-established 2007.

'That which failed to *destroy us*, actually made *us stronger*.'

Subscription: Audacity will be published seasonally. \$6 for 4 issues. Cheques / money orders - pay Australia First Party.

Our logo is the Janus head imposed upon the Southern Cross. This Roman god looked backwards and forwards, binding past, present and future

Audacity, printed and authorised A.F. Norwick 725 Princes Highway, Tempe 2044

Australia First: Re-Organizing, Active, Signs The ANZAC Declaration With NZ Nationalists

Australian and New Zealand nationalists have opted to address common issues and deepen their association.

The publication of this Declaration will be followed by face to face discussions in Sydney to set the terms of this new bond.

The nationalists recognise that there is a long historical link between the two countries. This connection has had a face in war (the shared ANZAC legacy) and a basis in common origins.

Today, Australia and New Zealand have both different and similar current internal problems to resolve, but they certainly face common threats, extant and future - from the New World Order regime based in America and its globalisation measures and wars, the international Zionist apparatus and its warmongering

and other manipulations, climate changes, refugees, the crisis of Third World population and immigration and the rise of the Chinese superpower and its imperialist activities in the South Pacific. These dangers unite the two countries in a common destiny. To survive our time, a new arrangement of common defence and alliance must be forged.

Of late, Australian nationalists have reinvigorated the Australia First Party. This party grows stronger, ideologically, politically and organizationally. After failed attempts by reactionary elements to deflect the party towards pseudo mainstream (sic) politics and the continuing crisis of the patriotic forces generally, this party advances a programme,

a strategy and viable tactics for success.

The Australia First Party intends to - and shall manage to - unite all genuine patriotic people into a united nationalist party.

Of late, New Zealand nationalists have worked to overcome a period of disunion caused by unfavourable external political factors and fractious individuals. The present trend towards unity is inexorable. New Right New Zealand, the National Democrats and the National Front have created a common co-operative structure in the Nationalist Alliance.

Ultimately, one nationalist party shall emerge, uniting the best

people in the broad New Zealand movement.

The Australian and New Zealand nationalists are resolved to exchange ideas and information, to campaign jointly whenever possible and to build a firm association for the future.

For Australia: Brendan Gidley, Jim Saleam, Neil Baird

For New Zealand: Kyle Chapman, Anton Foljambe, Kerry Bolton

The Declaration has met with full support on both sides of the Tasman and has interested other non-aligned nationalists in the two parties.

The Eight Core Policies Of Australia First: A Programme And A Method

2 The core policies of Australia First are the basis of association of the party. They are (with explanations) as follows:

1 Ensure Australia Retains Full Independence

Protect our sovereignty (national, constitutional and personal) and maintain an adequate defence whilst being compassionate and fair in our nation's international dealings.

2 Rebuild Australian Manufacturing Industries

This is the only way we can be self sufficient. It will provide jobs for our children, and help buy back the farm and allow Australia to be free of foreign debts. Our infrastructure has been run down over many years - it must be rebuilt. We must improve the practicality and relevance of our educational systems, and target government support for industry to diversify, innovate, perform and expand. We recognise that small business is fundamental to this policy. A satisfactory financial environment is also essential.

3 Control Foreign Ownership

Bring foreign ownership and investment back under control.

4 Reduce and Limit Immigration

Immigration mistakes can be big long term mistakes. Immigration policy must take into account social cohesion, employment opportunities, urbanisation and environmental issues

5 Abolish Multiculturalism

End the divisive, government funded and institutionalised policy of multiculturalism.

6 Introduce Citizen's Initiated Referenda

Amend the Australian Constitution so that the people can initiate constitutional referendums which, if approved by the Australian people, will amend the Australian Constitution. This simple step will confirm the political authority of voters and make politicians aware that they are the servants of the Australian People, not their masters.

7. Strengthen the family

Promote policies that strengthen and protect the traditional family.

8 Strive to Rebuild A United Australia

Promote policies that recognise the interdependence of city and country.

The party rules also imply a point nine.

9 Democratise Other Policy Issues

All other policies (non-core policies) are matters of free conscience and are not binding upon Australia First's future parliamentarians or councillors who are to represent their electorates.

Issues of public interest on which Australia First needs to formulate policy will be canvassed with the party membership and plebiscites conducted where deemed appropriate by the party's National Council. The party also permits branches to formulate specific electoral policies or community policies not inconsistent with the Eight Core Policies.

From time to time, the party will issue material that provides interpretation of the core policies. This interpretative material would reflect the spirit of the party.

The organizational purpose for this statement of policy and system for policy creation is simple: Australia First does not require weighty tomes, which change from month to month, as do the programmes of the Establishment parties and those who ape them. It requires a focus for action and for unity within the party.

Australia First is to build a new national movement. Practicality is method.

Where To Find Australia First

National Contact Line:
02 8587 0014

New South Wales:

Australia First (NSW) office: P.O. Box 593 Rockdale 2216
Blue Mountains: P.O. Box 385 Springwood 2777
Western Sydney: P.O. Box 200 Emerton 2770
Newcastle: P.O. Box 482 The Junction 2291; phone 0420 351 216
Coffs Harbour, Sutherland Shire, and other locations to be advised . Check Web site.

Australia Wide:

Australia First (Toowoomba):
P.O. Box 103 Crows Nest 4355
Australia First (Brisbane): P.O. Box 2173 Graceville East 4075
Australia First (Western Australia): P.O. Box 129 Collie 6225
Australia First (Melbourne): P.O. Box 223 Croydon 3136

Gold Coast, Adelaide and other locales to be advised.

National Council e-mail:
ausfirst@alphalink.com.au

'Australia First' Web Sites

Australia First Party Resources

New South Wales: <http://ausfirst.alphalink.com.au>
Queensland: www.australiafirst.info
Newcastle: www.freewebs.com/afnewcastle
Melbourne: www.australiafirst.net
Truth Television: www.truthtelevision.net

Special Independent Nationalist Organisations

Sydney Forum:
www.sydneyforum.net
Inverell Forum:

www.inverellforum.org
Patriotic Youth League:
www.patrioticyouthleague.info
↓
Independent nationalist Resources
Australian Nationalist Information Database:
www.ozemail.com.au/~natinfo
Australian Nationalist Ideological Historical And Legal Archive:
www.alphalink.com.au/~radnat
Aardvark (history of nationalist organizations):
<http://www.aardvark.fcpages.com/>
Nativist nationalists:
www.alphalink.com.au/~eureka
National Independent magazine (online): <http://rogerhns.w.tripod.com/>

AUSTRALIAN STUDENTS UNITE!

Nationalist Student Activism: New Perspectives On Ideas, Strategy and Tactics For A New Movement B. Knight.



This pamphlet has been designed to assist in the construction of an Australian Nationalist student movement.

There have been Nationalist student activities for three decades, but these efforts have been only pinpricks; however, as Australian Nationalism develops in the general community as a popular movement, the time has certainly arrived for a major campus push by our existing militants and by the new forces which will be mobilised.

The hour is late for Australia. The University is an important area for political struggle. We are urged on by our faith that the Australian Identity will not be extinguished on this Continent, that political and economic independence can be won for Australia, that a "Southern Nation" (Henry Lawson) will arise.

It is time for Nationalist students to acquire direction and begin to plan for the campus fight – soon to begin.

THE UNIVERSITY IN THE 21ST CENTURY:



CAPITALISM, OPINION-MAKING AND CULTURAL INTEGRATION

The University is not simply a place where students 'learn,' get a degree and are thus prepared for the workforce.

The University is a centre of power inside our economic-political-cultural order.

As a power centre for the Australian State, the University has a specialised function: its aim is to produce graduates who are able to "serve" as willing links in the economic and political organisations of this society, who have the 'correct' political opinions and who have personal-cultural values which can be imparted to others: i.e., liberal, globalist, values. If we strip away the mask of our 'free' University, we can see it as an instrument for social control.

Just as the 'principle' of Australian liberal capitalism for the general community is: CONFORM, CONSUME, OBEY, so this 'principle' is also enforced upon the intelligentsia of our society, albeit with one variation: the system makes the

'promise' of financial reward and occasionally – public recognition.

The link between the University and capitalism is demonstrable. Business and government fund various specialised 'think tanks'; academics have their 'findings' published as epistles in the media; courses and specialised programs (and scholarships) interlink the University with local and international business needs. Detailed research needs to be done to document these open and subtle links such that an effective propaganda can develop. For example, research into the new American institute at Sydney University would demonstrate the point. A University administration seeks to create an atmosphere of academic achievement, of 'normalcy' in its operation, to convince the student that it is a 'wise' machine dedicated to the common good; if its intellectual bases are at no point 'contested,' the process of 'adapting' the student to its programs goes without opposition.

Essentially, the University governs the mind through establishing correct 'opinions' in the course material. Economics courses 'discuss' globalisation, economic rationalism, international banking and the multinational as if we did not live in an epoch where fractious nationalisms are refusing to yield to a global village. Politics teaches that liberal democracy has emerged the victor of twentieth century ideological debates, that globalisation is inevitable, and that Australia is a 'part of Asia'; indeed, 'Australia' itself is a political lie given that Australia was 'stolen' in the first instance by Europeans who have since accepted their guilt in the most submissive and humiliating manner. New courses in 'Asian Studies' replace our own cultural heritage, and Language Schools have been more richly endowed to teach us the tongues of our new partners in capitalist 'rationality' rather than the languages and texts in which our own traditions are written. Studies in anthropology teach the 'out of Africa' mythos of human origins, a partial truth that establishes (sic) the globalist myth of human sameness.

Of course, free debate may occur in a University; we may debate philosophy or theology or aspects of any subject material, but we would fool ourselves if we took the illusion of 'freedom' for its sub-

stance. Whatever we may 'think,' the course material will remain the same. And students, like academics must be careful. Too much freedom will draw censure – as in the case of Professor Andrew Fraser of Macquarie University who found himself out of a job for his linking of race and intelligence, of ethnicity and crime.

The University establishes its political clubs, its campus societies, its academic rules (no sexism, ageism, racism, 'bias' in the language of academic 'Papers' or otherwise) to enforce conformity. Debate is to occur within particular parameters although a few Left sects may be 'permitted' to operate as a sign of University tolerance. Yet, the Left does not essentially challenge globalism, only prattles about who should benefit from it.

Nationalism, naturally, is anathema to such a system.

No more and no less than a University in an authoritarian state, is the Australian University a machine for the silent psychic terrorisation of the student.

It was Antonio Gramsci who explained the importance of the dominant class of a society establishing the HEGEMONY of its ideological basis over other social sectors and rival ideologies. Hegemony implies the de-legitimation of other views and an effort to force such views onto a plane where all are compelled to adopt the language/style of the dominant ideology. The subtlety of such an approach does not belie its coercive nature; to 'unlearn' the brainwash can be as difficult in our 'free' society, as it would be for a student in formerly Stalinist countries. When control is not 'obvious,' its existence can be denied. Critics such as ourselves can be denounced as heretics, just as European scholarship was once greeted as a violation of religious teaching.

The Nationalist student is soldiering in a political wasteland whose national achievement can only be the destruction and despoiling of Australia. It is a situation which would cause the strongest of us to ponder whether we can overcome the power arrayed against us. Nonetheless, the recognition of what we are fighting is the first necessary step.

Con't over

The University is an intellectual fortress of the State power. We must contest this power. Can we make virtue of our present weakness? Can we make capital from its seeming strengths?



The academic reproducing the values of this society and rewarded for it

THE DEMISE OF THE CAMPUS LEFT: A VACUUM DEVELOPS

The days of the campus Left have long passed.

In the late 1960s, and for the following decade, the Left dominated the campus scene, with the various factions of Maoists and Trotskyites vying for influence. The Left certainly set the parameters of intellectual debate.

Today, groups such as the Socialist Alternative and Resistance eke out a shadowy existence, IGNORED by most students and relevant only with anti racist, or similar liberal activities where their rabid internationalism enforces the hegemony of liberal globalism.

WE TAKE NOTE OF SEVERAL OBVIOUS FACTS:

(1) The Left is politically discredited. The collapse of the old bastions of international Marxism (USSR/Eastern bloc) and the march down the 'capitalist road' by others (China, Cuba, Vietnam, Cambodia) has combined to render Marxism a failed 'model'. A few cranky sects in the 2000s (usually Trotskyites) are not only still burdened by the sins of the past, but do not have the resources of the Left of yesterday. The discrediting of Marxism has placed us in the position where campus intellectual life is devoid of a Left / bourgeois Right divide. The Left has imploded to – almost nought.

(2) There is no interest for the Nationalist student movement in 'provoking' the Left sects; whilst we must expect to be campaigned against (i.e. "advertised", even if misrepresented) by the Left, our concern must be the attraction of student youth to Nationalism and a general growth of our campus influence. A sterile 'fight' with irrelevant Left sects could not advance our agenda. It could find no echo amongst the student mass and would be a sure recipe for our marginalisation.

(3) Certainly, a standard Nationalist criticism of Marxism has value; it assists in defining the rudiments of our ideology. However, given that the chief task must be the development of ourselves to present an alternative to liberal globalist capitalism, we must not lose sight of priorities. It is liberalism which controls the university from its academic representatives, to its political clubs and the pervasive life-style of many students.

There is a certain 'vacuum' on Australian campuses which must be filled by Australian Nationalism. History has validated the Nationalist thesis that Marxism was simply – capitalism upside down and inside out. The Marxist 'faith' which preached internationalism, open borders, the disappearance of all Nationality and a global economic order, has found *consummation* in these very policies applied (indeed refined) by modern capitalism. This incredible fact is worth repeating in our general philosophic propaganda.

However, we recognise that Marxism did once attract a certain number of idealists who, misguided though they were, did exhibit some revolutionary energy. Not all Leftists had this quality, but some did. The sociologist would tell us that Idealism and the University are old historical partners.

OVERSEAS STUDENTS: THE SALE OF EDUCATION AND ANTI-AUSTRALIAN DISCRIMINATION

There are now over 180,000 overseas students studying at Australian tertiary institutions. ("One-fifth of the students on Australian university campuses - 182,619 of a total 915,385 - are foreign, according to latest government figures." <http://www.theaustralian.news.com.au/story/0,25197,23397992-12332,00.html>).

On any fair estimation, this 'programme' raises billions of dollars for the State; it is big business. (\$11 billion according to: <http://www.universityworldnews.com/article.php?story=20080306105136387>).

It is a fact that DISPLACEMENT of Australians does occur; some 'studies' performed by the supposed experts confirmed this in the 1980s. Some 12,600 Australians missed out on a university place in 2008, as have countless tens of thousands over the last twenty

years or more (Sydney Morning Herald, quoting a Universities Report, April 29 2008). It is a fact that, ever since the days of the 'Columbo Plan,' an overseas student program has been a component of immigration; indeed, in 1971 at a Canberra symposium on the program, this was publicly acknowledged. Nothing has altered and it seems the situation worsened; in 1989, Prime Minister Hawke granted permanent residence to 40,000 Red Chinese on the spurious premise that they were in some danger if they returned to the communist state because of their 'support' for democratic demonstrators. Much of this 'support' seemed to have been generated AFTER it was realised that they could stay in Australia. These students 'sponsored' their families as migrants.

The overseas student program is a dangerous anti-Australian farce; it discriminates against Australians in their own country and should become a major general political campaign point for a Nationalist student mobilisation. The matter needs to be researched in particular detail that a national campaign can be mounted.

Overseas students are admitted to Australia for the money, to curry favour in Asia, to recruit 'acclimatised' migrants, to enmesh the lives of intellectual Australians with 'Asian cultures,' to expedite trade and investment.

The notion of training Australians FIRST, structuring educational resources for uniquely Australian tasks, developing Australian cultural-political values and expanding the volume of educational resources to achieve near-open universities – are all ideas lost on Australia's political class. Australians cannot achieve their full potential under the *racism* of the current political order.

THE SITUATION MAY WORSEN. The universities themselves are willing and complicit in the anti-Australian policy: "Universities could mount a public relations campaign to make sure Australians do not turn against the education export market that brings large numbers of foreign students to Australian campuses" (The Australian, op cit).

This issue differentiates Nationalism from 'racism'; in fact, the State in its denial of the rights of Australians in favour of aliens is –

acist. The situation demonstrates the NATIONAL FUTURE in microcosm – the slow submergence of the Australian People into "Asia."

The overseas student question is one where a small core of activists can cause intense discomfort at minimum cost and effort; the State and its campus groupies *cannot* argue a structured 'case.' It is certain this issue would win Nationalism – considerable student support.

A Nationalist government, would not only ensure that the interests of Australian students always come first – both in terms of places offered and in ensuring the highest possible standard of education in all areas of rigorous research and learning – but that their education would be provided to them *free* and WITHOUT DEBT. The Higher Education Contribution Scheme causes degrees to cost tens of thousands of dollars. It is an obscenity that those who can least afford to pay are burdened – in some cases, for life – with this form of double taxation. We end up with a class-based education system.

ESTABLISHING A NEW VALUES SYSTEM:-

To develop Student-Nationalism into a force, we should strive to establish a new values-system. This implies – the strong development of Nationalist ideology.

Student Nationalist leaders have the duty of urging the study of Australian History and Literature, of non-liberal philosophy, of organic sociology, various non-liberal political systems and ideas. It is appropriate (given that our supporters, particularly those in 'humanities' are being educated by the State at its expense) the study of such things be carried out in 'normal time' with the guidance of the organisation. For example, if a supporter was enrolled in an Australian history course, he would do better to study the nationalist White Australia theorists and activists (William Lane, William Spence, the 'Victorian Socialist Party' etc.) than the gold rush era; a sociology student would gain more in examining Robert Ardrey and Konrad Lorenz than in reviewing 'structuralism' and so forth. The education of Student Nationalist cadre can proceed – in the State's time and with its reward – the degree. It is simply a matter of the Nationalist organisation pursuing



such a programme with diligence. Where other students are involved (science, medicine, etc.), the organisation requires 'readings' in suitable material to lay down that ideological basis, systematic 'booklists' and other theoretical items.

'Values' are also more than ideology. It is personal attitude which is at issue. The liberal may come in the mode of the career-orientated studious type or the 'weaker' mode which generally ends up staffing some form of State Lie Factory (media, education, etc.). We must demonstrate a certain 'morality,' honesty and commitment; those Nationalists who make their allegiances known represent not just themselves, but others. A conscious effort must be made to participate in every area where Australian culture (and not cosmoculture) is displayed or actualised. Campus regiments, sports associations, music groups etc. are all fields not simply of personal / relaxation or endeavour, but for Nationalist recruitment and counter-culture action. It should be recalled that 'alternative' values-creation lay at the centre of the Left-student movement thirty years ago and it enjoyed some success. We need to examine the same method; we can show that we possess the 'Australian Character' which the liberal -does not.

In some cases, it may be possible covertly to 'takeover' certain campus societies and use the resources to inculcate Nationalist values and ideas - whether we 'announce' ourselves too openly or otherwise. It would ensure a year-by-year development of new Nationalist minded students. With public Nationalist action, disruption of liberal-life and the implanting of Nationalist consciousness, we would be performing our duty - winning young Australians to the defence of their Identity.

**NATIONALIST STUDENT ACTION:-
VOTING NATIONALIST:
LOCATING ISSUES.
BREAKING THE TERROR OF THE OPPOSITION.
MOVING INTO THE 21ST CENTURY WITH A UNIVERSITY BASE.**

Our objective is to become the most public, energetic, student force; there are some 500,000 European-Australians or more studying in every form of tertiary institution. We have to reach them. There are general issues of campaign and particular local issues; each campus group must assess the circumstances. It is important that we consider the placing before the students, on as many campuses as possible, and as often as each campus can sustain it, candidates for student representative council offices (e.g. President, editor of campus newspaper, etc.). We can expect controversy. We know that questions such as overseas students, fees, misuse of funds by 'politically correct' groups are always issues and often they admit of peculiar local applications.

We have to show that there is a bloc of Nationalist support and hence break the first barrier. We have to demonstrate that we are 'present' and that we will not go away. Candidates should appear, as one aspect of a national effort in several campus polls as an obvious

aspect of a national effort, the effect would be all the greater and indicate permanence.

However, our other task must never be lost sight of; we have to break the *terror of the opposition*. Intellectual-liberalism may be the power in every faculty, but it rests upon people. We need to practise ruthless exposure and criticism of the liberal academics who sustain State policy and who perform 'the brainwash.' These dross are not often noted for their courage. In this regard, we need to develop tactics of SABOTAGE. Their 'think tanks' need to be infiltrated and subjected to various forms of disruption. Their academic lives have to suffer destabilisation. Their classes must be 'assailed' with 'objections.' Leaflets, posters and other material must appear. These persons must appreciate that a new era of student protest has begun and they are the targets. In this regard, we strike at a key prop of the traitor-State.

But campus liberalism has other components. 'Anonymous' Nationalists should silently infiltrate (a job for each new year's 'intake' of Nationalist activists) any obnoxious campus societies. There are numerous ways to kill off a deserving group and we must practise every one. We must efficiently *van-dalise* their public material.

Each group may carry out particular public activities (e.g. literature display, book sales, etc.); however, we must be careful to shield some of our support from prying eyes. These patriots can work more easily to spread our message through direct discussions with students over lunch or in tutorials or in covert conversations. Their task must be to permeate the campus with a new 'air' of Nationalist dissent.


Once our activities affect the impression of a MOVEMENT IN CAMPUS LIFE, we are no longer a 'sect'; we are a self-sustaining force with a secure base.

THE NATIONALIST STUDENT AND THE POLITICAL DEVELOPMENT OF AUSTRALIAN NATIONALISM: THE OFF-CAMPUS QUESTION

The University has been defined as a 'Base' area for Australian Nationalism. It has also been defined as a major area of political contestation.

The University situation demands a specialised form of Nationalist organisation, but that organisation cannot be isolated from the broader off-campus question.

The political struggle for Australian Independence and Identity is set to intensify as this century progresses; the Student movement will play a crucial role in the development of Australian Nationalism. Nationalism requires articulate spokesmen and spokeswomen; it needs writers, organisers and leaders who can understand the line-of-march. In the final analysis, Australian Nationalism must be possessed of a political cadre which can fight, and win the battle with the traitor-State and replace its institutions with new structures. The youth who are attracted to Australian Nationalism *now* are those who will be playing important roles in the Nationalist struggle for political power., say ten years hence.



The University struggle is *training* for the broader national-revolutionary struggle. The dirty-tricks, the campaigning, the years of ideological study are those 'small' details learned in our youth. Years later the political canvas can be painted with the broader brushstrokes derived from richer life-experience. But our formative years are crucial.

The specialised Nationalist student groups may have to be flexible in their ways, but can only benefit from the integration of their work with off-campus Nationalist structures. From that quarter, information, legal advice, ideological materials and funds can be provided. Nonetheless, they need to develop independence of initiative and political will, traditional traits of our Australian Character.

The enemies we face on campus today - we will face again in the broader struggle *unless they 'know' that our commitment to destroy the liberal order is stronger than their courage in sustaining it.* It is a war. Liberalism must be choked of its future supporters; if we cannot 'convert' these forces, we should *intimidate* them, just as now they intimidate our supporters. The force of psychological intimidation is a strong one; these liberals should feel that the public expression (or even furtive expression) of their mis-faith will draw the censure they cannot live with. We are political guerrillas. In this regard, we are aware that we have to fight for our 'free speech' because there is no real freedom in the liberal-university today, just as there will be a definite struggle against anti-Australian liberalism in the Nationalist university of tomorrow. The future begins - today.

The formative Nationalist student groups are not primarily organisations for the discussion of ideas (although that discussion should occur), *but organisations for the operation of a detailed political attack upon liberalism.* In that sense they are fighting organisations which use both open and secret methods to arrive at certain results. These methods are similar to those employed by the Left in the late '60s and early '70s. Our objective is to show that Australian

patriotism lives, not only in the streets, factories and offices - but in a milieu very special to the local Traitor-State, at the very place where it reproduces the ideas that sustain it and recruits the staff who occupy its higher agencies. In this environment, the State cannot be seen to 'introduce' into it directly its secret policemen, its laws against free expression and its media driven smears; it must fight with those resources it has. It is a question of who will command the greater reservoir of determination.

UNIVERSITIES UNDER STATE PRESSURE

The University scene is one under intense pressure It is being recrafted to faithfully reproduce the liberal society, both in its ideological and in its commercial aspects. In that regard inconvenient free inquiry schools suffer from the hand of economic rationalism. The 2007 curtailing of the School of History at the University of New South Wales is a case in point. Although this department was certainly liberal, it allowed too much free inquiry and too much reflection upon Australian history - which does not serve the process of globalisation.

A leftist who reviewed the radical-movement at La Trobe University (1969-73) said of it: "Student movements arise during periods of acute and rapid change in institutional structures and traditions are made obsolete or less relevant."

With the State pressure to sell education to overseas students which displaces Australians and binds universities to this 'market', to maintain high fees for all degrees, to limit scholarships, to charge for all postgraduate study and to rationalise courses, a pool of anger and resentment is being created. There is no voice to this discontent. A new Nationalist student reaction is in the formative stage, part of a broad stream of patriotism.

A nationalist student movement may draw upon foreign examples of both Left and Right, from the present and the past and from Australian examples in similar vein. But it will have to tread its own pathway . The task now is for us to turn our thoughts into positive actions.

A Programme For Student Nationalist Action: Take Back Our Education System!

Australian nationalist student organizations advance a fighting programme which mobilizes a new movement and challenges the liberal ideology that dominates our university life.

Overseas Students:

The overseas student program must be wound down to a negligible number of selected persons.

Once current overseas students complete their degrees they must return home; studying in Australia is not a ticket to win migration rights to Australia where they would be competition in the labour market and a loss to their parent country.

The process that allows foreigners to study in Australian high schools and then enter Australian universities and not be classed as overseas students – must end.

No place should be offered to any overseas student if it displaces an Australian.

University Fees:

All universities shall be free upon the appropriate entry standard.

All Higher Education Contribution Scheme debts shall be abolished.

All students shall receive appropriate financial assistance during their course periods.

University Funding:


University funding should be regarded as a national priority on par with defence, health or ecology.

The State should support to the limit all Australian (academic and student) scientific and similar research and all proper research in the social sciences that defines the Australian heritage or defines our social or other priorities.

Cultural Revolution:

The Australian university shall undergo a *revolutionary* change, where liberal ideology, whether in its capitalist or marxist guises, shall be combated and displaced. Our universities shall interact staff and student as joint agents of democratic control in a professional academic environment. The University should have special representation in the Australian parliament.

Neither Left Nor Right, For A New Cultural Revolution!



The *great anti Australian cultural revolution* that emerged from the 1970's University and took over our intellectual life from that time, was the passage of the 'New Class' intellectual, with his leftist social agenda, into the system of the capitalist free marketeer.

Karl Marx joined Coca Cola!

Human Rights, 'feminism', 'anti-racism', 'political correctness' of every variety, gay rights, merged with the free trade, open borders logic of corporate capitalism.

And why not?

The leftist social agenda set out to achieve several things:

1. Break the idea of Australian nationhood. Nationality was a prejudice, a foolishness in a One World.
2. Suggest there was no valid nationality in a land 'stolen' from the Aborigines and based upon racist privilege. Suggest that the only culture was 'multi' - culture and allow the community to fragment.
3. Denounce, then undermine, a variety of social norms and practises—the traditional family, heterosexuality, parents' rights, as incompatible with personal freedom.
4. Denounce and undermine country life and the farmers, then the Australian workers - as reactionary, blokey, racist. Commercialise everything from agriculture to union.
5. Establish a new language in the media, universities, schools and workplaces that would parrot the new 'values'

These 'principles' for a new Australia empowered the former (and any emergent) leftists. Hence we saw the Communist Party dissolve and its leadership pass into the social movements that underlay this cultural transformation of Australia. We saw the various Trotskyite groups with their rabid ideological

internationalism act as enforcers against all 'sexism' 'racism' 'homophobia' in the school, workplace and university.

This system of control has worked well for a quarter century.

The Australian money elite preached the global market. It wanted to break any traditional Australian social structures so it could have a fluid labour market with no other social agenda than personal 'happiness'.

The alliance of Left and Right has been effective. Leftists gave up the idea of socialist revolution and chose

to realise their social goals within capitalism. The rightists chose to build the globalised economy, but gave up the idea of traditionalism.

It goes to show capitalism was more radical in practise!

Neither Left Nor Right! For A New Cultural Revolution!

Australia needs therefore—a *new cultural revolution*. It begins in our universities and it starts by a refusal to allow the politically correct intellectual terrorism of the State and its academia to bludgeon us to silence. If we refuse to obey system logic, we fire the first shot!

